# STATE OF NEVADA

In the matter of Alfred Chartz, Esq., for Contempt DECISION

show cause whw he should not be false charges or vilification. adjudged guilty of contempt for hav- He may suily present, discuss and statement:

ions; disavowed any intention to com- and protect. mit a contempt of court; and, further giosi for its use and asked that the and intentional misconduct. same he stricken from the petition.

In considering the foregoins statement it is proper to note that in the stance, he used language of similar import which this court did not take cognizance of, attributing its sie to over zealousness upon the part of ture that the Attorney General in his and this court in sustaining the law some mythical political influence ir fear, which exists only in the pyro-

technic imigination of cunsel. Also, the case and its condition of eration. The proceeding, in which this petition was filed, had been ture limiting labor to eight hours per tion works, except in cases of emer-. imminant danger. Stat. 1903, p. 33. time of filing the petition, respond nt was aware that the court had preenactment as limiting the hours of labor in underground mines, Re A. 47, and in mills for the reduction of ores, Re Kair 28 Nev. 80 P. 464. and that similar statutes had been upheld by the Supreme Court of Utan and the Supreme Court of the United States in the cases of State v. Holden. 14 Utah 71 and 86, 46 P. 757 and 1105. 37 L. R. A. 103 and 108; Holden v Hardy 169 U. S. 366, 18 Sup. Ct. 383; t v. Mining Company 57 P. 720, 45 L. R. A., 603, and by the Supreme Court of the State of Missouri re Cantwell, 179 Mo. 245, 78 S. .W. 569. It may not be out of place here, also to note that the latter case has since been affirmed by the ? preme Court of the United States, and more recently the latter tribunal, adhering to its opinion therein and in the Utah cases, has refused to interfere with the decisions of this Co

.t would seem therefore, a natural and proper, if not a necessary deduction from the language in question. when taken in connection with the law of the cases as enunciated by this and other courts, that counsel, finding that the opinion of the highest court in the 'and was adverse instead of faverable to his contentions in that it specifically affirmed the Utah decision in Holden vs. Hardy, which sustained the statute from which curs is couled, and that all the courts named were adverse to the views he advocated, had rescrited to abuse of the Justices of this and other courts, and to imputations of their motives.

The language quoted is tantameunt to the marge that this tribund and the Surreme Courts of Utah, Missouri and of the United States and Lie Justices thereof who participated in the opinions upholding statutes limiting the hours of labor in mines, smelter: and other cre reduction works, were misguided by igno ance or base polit.cal considerations.

e-Taking the most charitable view. if counsel became so imburn and misguided by his own faces and conclusions that he honestly and ereneously conceived that we were controlled by ignorance or sinister motives instead of by law and justice in determining constitutional or other questions, and that these other courts and judges and the members of the levislature and Governor were guilty of the accusation he made occause they and we failed to follow the theories he advocated, and that his opinions ought to outweigh and turn the scale against the dec'sions of the four courts namer including the highest in the land with nineteen justices concurring, neverthele... "was entirely inappropriate to make the statement in brief.

If he really believed or knew of facts to sustain the charge he made he ought to have been aware that the purpose of such a document is to enlighten the court in regard to the controlling facts and the law, and convince by arguar it, and not to abuse and vilify, and that this court is not endowed with nower to hear or determine charges impeaching its Justices. On the other hand if he did not believe the accusation and made it with a cesire to mislead, intimidate or swerve from duty the Court in its accision, the statement would be the more censurable. So that taking ettle- view, whether respondent believed or disbelieved the . cinous charge he made, such languade 's anwarranted and contemp-

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE his brief or argument is to assist tue court in ascertaining the truth pertaining to the pertinent facts, the real effect of decisions and the law applicable in the case, and he far oversteps the bounds of professional conduct Respondent was commanded to when he reports to misrepresentation,

ing, as an attorney of record in the argue the evidence and the law and matter of the application of Peter Kair freely indicate wherein he benedes for a Writ of Habeas Corpus filed in that decisions and rulings are wrong or this court a petition for rehearing in erroneous, but this he may do withwhich he made use of the following out effectually making bald accusations against the motives and intelli-"In my opinion, the decisions favor- gence of the court, or being discouring the power of the State to limit the teous or resorting to abuse which is hours of labor, on the ground of the not argument nor convincing to reapolice power of the State, are all soning minds. If respondent has no trong, and written by men who have respect for the justices, he ought to never performed manual labor, or by have enough regard for his position politicians and for politics. They to at the bar to refrain from attacting not know what they wrote about." Respondent apeared in response to ber, and which the people, through the citation, filed a brief and made an the Constitution and by general conextended address to the Court in sent have made the final interpreter which he took the position that the of the laws which ne, as an officer words in question were not contempt. of the court, has sworn to uphold

These duties are so plain that any that if the langauge was by the court departure from them by a member accemed to be objectionable, he apoli, of the bar would seem to be willful

their proceedings is inherent and is briefs filed by Respondent upon the as old as courts are old. It is also and turning to the court, said, in a position and exercise the privileges of note to re Cary, 10 Fed. 652, and in price of disc records (either Victor hearing of the case in the first 'n provided by statute. By analogy we loud note the adjudications and penalties

ord Cottingham imprisoned Edmund Lechmere Charlton a barrister, whether the decision of a court want counsel, but which was of such a and member of the House of Com- right or wrong," Russell v. Circuit rify the refusal of that tribunal to or contemptuous language, reflecting mons for sending a scandalous letter | Judge, 67 Iowa, 102. reply orief referred to a as insinuar- to one of the masters of the court. ing that the Legislature in enacting and a committee from that body, after an investigation, reported that in their were being "impelled or controlled by cpinion his "claim to be discharged record in the Supreme Court, because from imprisonment by reason of prividit contained the following: legde of parliament ought not to be admitted." 2 Milne and Craig, 317.

When the case of People vs. Tweed the time the objectionable language in New York came up a second time was used, should be taken into consid. before the same judge, before the trial commenced, the prisoner's counsel privately handed to the judge a letter. brought to test the institutionality couched in respectful language, in of a section of an Act of the Legisla. Waich they stated, substantially, that their client feared, from the circumday in smelters and other ore reduc- stances of the former trial, that the judge had conceived a prejudice gency where life or property is in against him, and that his mind was not in the unbiased condition neces-This Act had passed the Legislature sary to afford an impartial trial, and almost unanimously and had receiv- respectfully requested him to consided the Governor's approval. At the er whether he should not relinquish the duty of presiding at the trial to some other judge, at the same time viously sustained the validity of the declaring that no personal disrespect was intended toward the judge of the court. The judge retained the letter Boyce, 27 Nev. 327, 75 P. L., 65 L. R. and went on with the trial. At the end of the trial e sentenced three of the writers to a fine of \$250 each, and publically reprimanded the others, the junior counsel, at the time expressing the opinion that if such a from the bar within one hour." The counse! at the time protested that intended no contempt of and, that they felt and intended to express no disress said. pect for the judge but that their acwhat they deemed a view interests of a eir client and the faithful and conscientious discharge of the redute The judge accepted the disclaimer of personal disrespect, but refused to believe the disclaimer of intention to commit a contempt and enforced the fines. 11 Albany Law Journal 408,

> For sending to a district judge out of court a letter stacing that "The ruling von have made is directly contrary to every principal of law, and every body anows . I believe and it is our desire that no such decision shall stand unreversed in any court. we practice in." an attorney was fine. \$50 and suspended from practice until the amount should be paid. In delivering the opinion of the Supreme Court of Kansas in Re Prior, 18 Kan. 72. 26 Am., 747, Prewer J., said:

26 Am. R. 752.

"Upon this we remark, in as first place that the language of this letter is very insulting. To say to a judge made is centrary to every principle o. certainly a most severe imputation.

ney is under special obligations to be duct and communications to a judge He is an officer of the court, and it is and dignity. The independence of the profession carries with it the right freely to challenge, criticise and condemn all matters and things under review and in evidence. "wit" his privilege goes the corresponding obligation of constant courtesy and resnect toward the triounal in which the proceedings are pending. And the fact that the tribunal is an inferior one, and its rulings not final and without appeal, does not eiminish in the slightest degree this obligation of courtesy and respect., A justice of the peace before whom the most trif- his offenses." ling matter is being litigated is entitled to receive from every attorney in the case cortecus and respectful treatment. A failure to extend this corresy and respectful treatment is failure of duty; and it may be so gross a dereliction as to warrant the exercise of the power to punish for

centempt. It is so that in every case where a judge decides for one party,, he deeides against another; and oftimes both parties are before hand equally confident and sanguine. The disap ncintment, therefore, is great, and it - not in human nature that there bould be other than bitter feeling which often reaches to the judge a the cause of the supposed wrong. A judge therefore cught to be patient and tolerate everything that annears but the momentary outbreak of dis-"mointment. A second thought will generally make a party ashamed o' such an outbreak. So an attorne: tious. The duty on attorney in sometimes, thinking it a mark of in-derly and violent, who respect neither

CANADA COMPANIES NON MARKET PARTIES OF CANADAS AND COMPANIES OF CANADAS AND CANADAS AN

ontemptuous, angry or insulting exressions at every adverse ruling unit become the court's clear duty ministering them." 128 U. S. 313. o check the habit by the severe les-

attorney was known, the duty of in-

he very nature of things the power of a court to punish for contempt is proceedings against any judge who

intrusted to him." counsel as to whether a witness had not already answered a certain question, and the court after hearing the The power of courts to punish for reporter's notes read, decided that She has not answered the question"

> In Sears v. Starburd, 75 Cal. 91, 7 its officers." Am. St. 123, a brief reflecting mann the trial judge was stricken from the

"The court, out o. a fullness of his love for a cause, the parties to it or their counsel, or from an everzealous, tice court as well as in this court, any explanation cannot be construed desire to adjudicate all matters, points arguments and things,' could not, with any degree of propriety under the law. patch and doctor up the cause of the plain ffs, whic., perhaps, the carelessness of their counsel has left in such a condition as to entitle them to no relief whatever."

In reference to this language it was

said in the opinion: ere is a .... net infimation that the judge of \_e court celew did not act from proper motives, but from a love of the parties or their counsel. We see nothing in the record which suggests that such was the case. On the contrary, \_e action complained of seems to us to have been entirely See Stl v. Reese, 47 Cal. 340 The brief, therefore contains a grounless c arge against the purity of motive of the judge of the court below This we regard as a grave breach of professional propriety. Every person on his admission to the bar takes an improper and the atterney replied: thing had been cone by them in England, they would have been "expelled ties of an attorney and connector" he can stand aside." This language Surely suc, a course as was taken in was deemed offensive and the court this case is not in compliance w. prohibited that particular atto that duty. In Friedlander v. Sumner from e-amining the next witness. G. & S. M. Co., 61 cal. 117.

'If unfortunately counsel in any tion had been taken in furtherance of case shall ever so far forgst himself for divorce which was unnecessarily as willfully to employ langauge mant. | press- and indelicate. festly disrespectful to the judge of the judge of the festly disrespectful to the judge of the festly disrespectful to the judge of the jud ticipated-we shall deem it our dury stated that how by why the honorable to treat such conduct as a contempt of this court, and to proceed according. and substantially ignored and disrely; and the briefs of the case were garded the uncontradicted testimony ordered to be stricken from the files." we do not know. It seems that not

Saints, language used in the petition sioners observation. A more disinfiled in effect accusing the court of genious and misleading statement of any intention to be disrespectful or an attempt to shield its receiver and the evidence could not well be made. his attorneys from an investigation It is substantialy untrue and unwarof charges of gross misconduct in of ranted. The decision seems to us to uous, the said language be stricken "We must decline to assume the functions of a grand jury, or attempt guilty of contempt committee in the to perform the duty of the court in investigating the conduct of its officers, "was held to be contemptuous. 211 P. 519.

In re Terry, 36 Fed. 419 an extreme case, for charging the court with having Jeon briben, resisting removed that a certain ruing which he has from the court room by the marshai acting under an order from the bench law and that everybody . rows it is and using agusive language, one of the defendants was sent to jail ! We remark, secondly, that an attorithirty days and the other for sty months. Judge erry, who had not considerate and respectful in his con- made any accusation against the court sought release and to be purged of the contempt by a sworn posittherefore his duty to uphold its henor ion in which he alleged that in the transaction he did not have the slightest idea of showing any disrespect to the court. It was held that this could not avail or relieve him and it was

> said: "The law imputes an intent to accomplish the natural result of one's tion of the better instincts of human acts, and, when those acts are of a criminal nature, it will not accept. against such implication the denial of the transgressor. No one would be safe if a denial or a wrongful or crimiral intent would suffice to realese the violator from the pasishment due in In an application for a writ of he

beas cornus growing out of that case Justice Harlan, speaking for the Supreme court of the United States entd. "We have seen that it is a settled teetrine in the jurisprudence both of England and of this country, never supesed to be in conflict with the liberty of the citizens, that for direct contempt committed in the face of the court, at least one of superior jurisdiction, the offender may in its liscretion, he instantly apprehended and immediately imprisoned, without 'riol or issue, and without other proof han its actual knowledge of what co-'ur ed: and that according to an un-

broken chain of authorices reaching ack to the earliest times, such nower, altocuch arbitrary in its nature and liable to abuse, is absolutely orsential to the protection of the curts in the discharge of their tureions. Without it udeilal tribunals would be at the ..... of the dienr.

ependence, may become want to use the laws enacted for the vindication these tribunals of instance or the supof public and private rights, nor the port and preservation of their respect

In re Wooley 11 Ky. 95, it was held the annals of jurisprudence entend; on of a punishment for contempt. Lat to incorporate into a petion for The single insulting expression for rehearing the statement that 'Your hich the court punisnes may there. .. onors have rendered an unjust deore seem to those knowing nothing of cree," and other insulting matter, is Lord Mayor of London's case, 3 Wilthe prior conduct of the attorney, and to commit in open court an act con- sen, 188; opinion o. Kent C. J., in looking only at the single remark, a stituting a contempt on the part of the the case of Yates, 4 Johns, 317; Johnatter which might well be unnotic- actorney; and hat where the lan- sen v. The Commonwealth 1 Bibb 598. ed; and yet if all the conduct of the guage spoken or written is of itself necessarily offensive, the disavowa! of 2d edition it is said: erference and punis ment might be an intention to commit a contempt may tend to excuse but cannot justify w.e.her written or spoken; and if in We remark finally, that while from the act. From a paragraph in that the presence of the court, notice is

opinion we quote: a vast power, and one which, in the the pactice of his profession by the petition for rehearing is equivalent hands of a corrupt or unworthy judge | manner in which he conducts himself to the commission in open court of an may be used tyrannically and unjust in his intersourse with the courts. He act constituting a contempt. When ly, yet protection to individuals lies may be honest and capable, and yet the language is capable of explanain the publicity of all judicial pro- he may so conduct himself as to contin- tion, and is explained, the proceedings cest ngs, and the appeal which may ually interrupt the business of the must be discontinued; but where it be made to the legislature for pro- courts in which he practices; or he is offensive and insulting per se, the may by a systematic and continuous disavowal of an intention to commit proves himself unworthy of the power course of conduct, render it impossi- a contempt may tend to excuse, but ble for the courts to preserve their cannot justify the act. From an open Where a contention arose between self-respect and the respect of the notorious and public insult to a court public and at the same time permit for which an attorney contumaciously him to act as an officer and attorney, refused in any way to atone, he was An attorney who thus studiously and fined for contempt, and his authority systematically attempts to bring the to practice revoked." contempt and to maintain dignity in she had answered it, whereupon one tribunals of justice into public conof the attorneys sprang to his feet, tempt is an unfit person to hold the we have mentioned are cited in the tone and insulting manners an officer of those tribunals. An open 9 Cyc. 1. 20, where it is said that or Columbia), to take effect immenotorious and public insuit to the contempt may be committed by inimposed in a few of the many cases, held that the attorney was guilty of highest judicial tribunal of the State se ting in pleadings, briefs, motions contempt regardless of the question for which an attorney contamacionsiv arguments, petitions for rehearing or refuses in any way to atone, may just other papers filed in court insulting recognize him in the future as one of on the integrity of the court

> spondent was fined for ironically stat. contempt which no construction of ing to a justice of the peace. "I think the wo ds can excuse or purgo, His this magistrate wiser than the Supreme court" Redfield, C. J., said: pect to the court may palliate but

> and with the same formal respect, otherwise than as reflecting on the inhowever difficult, it may be either beligence and motives of the court. here or there.."

> any alternative left him but the sub- intimidate or improperty inquence our mission to what he no doubt regards decision, as a misaporchension of the law, both on the part of the justice and of this been severely punished for using lancourt. And in that respect he is in a guage in many instances not so rev condition very similar to many who reheasible, but in view of the disahave failed to convince others of the vowal in open court we have concludsoundness of their own views, or to ed not to impose a penalty so harsh became convinced themselves o ftheir as disbarment or suspension from

> falacy. In Mahoney v. State, 72 N. E. 151, an attorney was fined \$50 for saying against the misconflict of affinercys "I want to see whether the court is litigants ought not to be punished or right or not i want to have whether I am going to be heard in this case in the interests of my client or not. and making other insolent statements. In Redman v. State 28 Ind., the judge informed counsel that a question was

In Brown v. Brown IV Ind. 724. lawver was taxed with the cost of the action for filing and reading a netition

In McCormick v Sheridan, '0, P 24, commission should have so effectually In U. S. v. Late Corporation of ther the transcript nor our briefs Church of Jesus Cheist of Later Pay could have fallen under the commisfice and containing the statement that be a traversity of the evidence" Held cut of his petition. that counsel drafting the petition was face of the court, netwithstanding a disrespectful or contemptuous, but he disavowal of disrespectful intention. A fine of \$200 was imposed with an alternative of serving in jail.

said: "If it was the general habit of the commutty to denounce, degrade, and this kind is indeed an unaleasant one disregard the decisions and indements such at least it has a ways appeared of the courts, no man of self-respect to me. Yet it must sematimes be and just pride of reputation would re- done. main upon the cench, and such only Therefore, I concur in the cench. Co, school fund Dist. Spel .7290 20 would become the ministers of the sion reached and in the order stated Co, school fund Dist, I library law as were insensible to defamation in the opinion of Justice Talbot, toand conternt. Put happily for the wit: good order of society, men, an especfelly the people of this country, are ition be stricken from the files, that renerally disposed to respect and respondent stand renrimended and abide the decisions of the tribunals warned, and that he pay the costs of ordained by government as the com. this proceeding, mon arbiters of their rights. But where isolated individuals, in violanature, and disregardful of law and order, wentanly attempt to obstruct o course of public justice by disre- Of The Continental Casualty Company garding and exciting disrespect for the decisions of its trianna's, every good e'tizen will point them out as Capital (paid up) .....\$ 300,000 ;0

sion. an enlightened and conservative bar. governed by a high sense of profes- Premiums ...... 2,129,749 C sional ethics and deebly sensible, as Other sources ...... they always are, of its necessity to Total income, 1905 ..... 2,160,226 ... aid in the maintenance of public respect for its opinions."

In Sowers v. Torrey, 5 Paige Ch. 64 Dividends ..... 28 Am. D. 411, it was held that the at- Other expenditures ... 1.113,131 64 terneyw ho put his hand to scandalous Total expenditures, 1905 2,123,536 45 and impertinent matter stood against the companiant and one not a party Risks written ..... to the suit is liable to the censure of Premiums ...... 2.633 875 the court and chargeable with the Losses incurred ...... 1,009,644 \$1 cost of the proceedings to have it expunged from the record.

In State v. G-aithe, 1 La. Am. 183. Premiums received ..... the court held that it could not con- Lesses paid ..... sistently with its duty receive a brief Losses incurred ...... expressed in disrespectful language. and ordered the clerk to take it from the files.

punish for contempt, Blackford, J., in n. 1 Blackf. 1.6, said This great por er is entrusted sof February.

tapility and independence; it has existed from the each. to vivi to which and, except in a tew cases of party vio lence, it has been sanctioned and established by the experience of ages."

At page 206 of Weeks on Attorneys.

"Language may be contemptuous

not essential before punishment, and "An attorney may unfit himself for scandalous and insulting matter in a

By using the objectionable language In re Cooper, 32 Vt. 262, the re. stated respondent became guilty of a disclaimer of an in entioned disc s "The counsel must submit in a just cannot justify a charge which under "We do not see that the relator has made for any other purpose unless to

As we have seen, afforneys have practice, or, fine or imprisonment.

Nor do we forget that an prescribi, g prevented from a sintaining in the case all petitions, pleadings, and pa- Balance in County Treasury at pers essential to the preservation and erforcement of their rights.

It is ordered that the offensive netthen be stricken from the files, that warned, and that he pay the costs of this proceeding.

Tarbot, J.

Norcross, J.

In this matter my concurrence is special and to has extent:

The language used by the responing was based, was, in my opinion contemptuous of this court; and, of The respondent nowever, in response to the order of the court to show cause why he should not be punished therefor, appeared and disclaimed Court deemed the language contempt-

said that he had no intention to he also earnestly contended that the language charged against him and which Co. shool fund Dist. 3 ......277 61% he admitted naving used was not dis- Cc. school fund Die ' ....212 77 The Chief Justice speaking for the respectful or contemptuous. In the State school fund Dist, 1 ... 3859 85 court in State v. Morrill. 16 Ark. 310 last contention, I taink he was plainly in error.

"It is ordered that the "flensive net-

Fitzgerald C 1 -0-0----

## ANNUAL STATEMENT

Of Hammond Indiana. General office, Chicago, lilis,

proper subjects for legal animadver- Assets ...... 1,708.611 28 Liabilities, exclusive of capi-A court must naturally look first to tal and net surplus .. 1,157,641 70 Income 30,476 7 Expenditures

Losses ..... 993,904 × 16,500 et Business 1905 none Nevada Business

Risks written ..... none 20,025 50 8.544 5 8.634 5 A. A. SMITH, Secretary.

The Sierra Nevada mining company Referring to the rights of courts to received \$2,722.67 from leasers operating on Cedar Hill during the mon't

SPECIAL EXCURSION FROM SAM FRANCISCO TO CITY OF MEXICO AND RETURN. DECEMBER 16th,

A select party is being organized Ly the Southern Pacific to leave Sau Francisco for Mexico City, December 16th, 1905. Train will contain fine vestibule sleepers and dining car, a:1 the way on going trip. Time limit will be sixty days, enabling excursionats to make side trips from City of Mexico to points of interest. On return trip, stopovers will be allowed at points on the main lines of Mexican Central, Santa Fe or Southern Pacific. An excursion manager will be in charge and make all arrangements. Round trip rate from San Francisco

\$80.00. Pullman berth rate to City of Mex-

ico, \$12.00.

For further information address 'nformation Bureau, 613 Market street. San Francisco Cal. 0/0

#### Liberal Offer.

I beg to advise my patrons that the diately, will be as follows until further notice:

Ten inch disks formerly 70 cears will be sold for 60 cents.

Seven inch records formerly 50c. now 35c. Take advantage of this of-C. W. FRIEND.

#### ----Notice to Huntetis.

Notice is hereby given that any cersos found handling without a permit on the premises owned by Theodo's Winters, will be prosecuted. A linand which could scarcely have been ited number of permits vill be sold at \$5 for the season or 50 cents for

### OFFICE COUNTY AUDITOR

To the Honorable, the Board of Council ty Commissioners, Gentlemen:

In compliance with the law. # herewith anomat my quarterly ro-As and disburse. meats of Ormsby County, during the quarter ending Dec. 30, 1905. Quarterly Report.

## Ormsby County, Nevada.

end of last quarter ..... 39108 77% Fees of Co. officers .......527 05 Fines in Justice Court ..... 125 00 Rent of Co. biuliding ..... 302 50 Slot machine ucense .....282 00 S. A. apportionment school and on which the contempt proceed. Douglas Co., road work .... IS 00 

Total 4-213 59% Recapitulation April 1st., 06. Balance cash on hand .....\$31277 17% Co. school fund Dist. 1 . . . . 10158 481/2 Co, school fund Dist, 2 ..... 189 14 State school fund Dist, 2 ...216 18 The duty of courts in matters of State school fund Dist. 3 .... 433 76 Agl. Assn fund A. . . . . . . . . . . . . . 686 121/2 Agl. Assn. fund Spcl. ..... 1129 54 Co school fund Dist, 3 tibrary

> Co. school fund Dist. 4 library ...... 6 50 \$31277 17% Total - B VA NETTEN

county Treasurer. Disbursements

Co. school fund Dist. 1 ....... 238 65 Co. school fund Dist, 2 ...... 173 10 Co school fund Dist. 3 ...... 19 85 Co. school fund Dist. 4 ..... 122 00 State school fund Dist 1 .... 2811 65 State school fund Dist 2 ..... 10 00 State school fund Dist 3 .....120 00 State school fund Dist 4 ......110 00 ......60 00 Co, school fund . Co, school fund Spel building

16936 42 Total Recapitu'ation

Cash in Treasury January 1, 1996 ......39108 7756 Receipts from January 1st to

March 31st 1906 ........9104 81% Disbursements from January 1st to March 31st 1906..... 16256 42 Balance cash in Co. Treasury

H. DIETER:CH

County Auditor

t. W.D.A. Carson, New ..